Chapter Title	Correlation of Seismic Ambient Noise to Image and to Monitor the Solid Earth		
Copyright Year	2011		
Copyright Holder	Springer Science + Business Media B.V.		
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Campillo	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Michel	
	Suffix		
	Division	Observatoire de Grenoble	
	Organization	Université Joseph Fourier and CNRS	
	Address	BP 53, 38041, Grenoble, France	
	Email	Michel.Campillo@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr	
Author	Family Name	Roux	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Philippe	
	Suffix		
	Division	Observatoire de Grenoble	
	Organization	Université Joseph Fourier and CNRS	
	Address	BP 53, 38041, Grenoble, France	
	Email		
Author	Family Name	Shapiro	
	Particle		
	Given Name	Nikolai M.	
	Suffix		
	Division		
	Organization	Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris	
	Address	4 place Jussieu, 75252, Paris, France	
	Email		
i			

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

2 CORRELATION OF SEISMIC AMBIENT NOISE TO IMAGE AND TO MONITOR THE SOLID EARTH

³ Michel Campillo¹, Philippe Roux¹, Nikolai M. Shapiro²

- ⁵ ¹Université Joseph Fourier and CNRS, Grenoble, France
- ⁶ ²Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Paris, France

7 Definition

1

8 Seismic noise: permanent motion of the Earth surface that

9 is not related to earthquakes or specific controlled sources.

10 Introduction

Traditional observational methods in seismology are 11 based on earthquake records. It results in two main short-12 comings. First, most techniques are based on waves emit-13 ted by earthquakes that occurred only in geologically 14 active areas, mainly plate boundaries. This results in 15 a limited resolution in all other areas where earthquakes 16 are not present. Second, the repetition of earthquakes is 17 rare, preventing the study of continuous changes within 18 19 active structures such as volcanoes or faults. Also at smaller scales in the context of geophysics 20

prospecting, the resolution is limited by the number and power of sources, making it difficult to image large areas and/or deep structures. Similarly, reproducible sources are necessary for time-lapse monitoring leading to longduration surveys that are difficult to achieve.

Nowadays, the seismic networks are producing contin-26 uous recordings of the ground motion. These huge 27 amounts of data consist mostly of so called seismic noise, 28 a permanent vibration of the Earth due to natural or indus-29 trial sources. Passive seismic tomography is based on the 30 extraction of the coherent contribution to the seismic field 31 from the cross-correlation of seismic noise between sta-32 33 tion pairs.

As described in many studies where noise has been 34 used to obtain the Green's function between receivers, 35 coherent waves are extracted from noise signals even if, 36 at first sight, this coherent signal appears deeply buried 37 in the local incoherent seismic noise. Recent studies on 38 passive seismic processing have focused on two applications, the noise-extracted Green's functions associated to 40 surface waves leads to subsurface imaging on scales ranging from thousands of kilometers to very short distances; 42 on the other hand, even when the Green's function is not 43 satisfactorily reconstructed from seismic ambient noise, 44 it has been shown that seismic monitoring is feasible using 45 the scattered waves of the noise-correlation function. 46

Theoretical basis for the interpretation of noise47records at two stations48

Passive seismology is an alternative way of probing the 49 Earth's interior using noise records only. The main idea 50 is to consider seismic noise as a wave field produced by 51 randomly and homogeneously distributed sources when 52 averaged over long time series. In this particular case, 53 cross-correlation between two stations yields the Green's 54 function between these two points. In the case of 55 a uniform spatial distribution of noise sources, the cross- 56 correlation of noise records converges to the complete 57 Green's function of the medium, including all reflection, 58 scattering, and propagation modes. However, in the case 59 of the Earth, most of ambient seismic noise is generated 60 by atmospheric and oceanic forcing at the surface. There- 61 fore, the surface wave part of the Green's function is most 62 easily extracted from the noise cross-correlations. Note 63 that the surface waves are the largest contribution of the 64 Earth response between two points at the surface. 65

Historically speaking, helioseismology was the first 66 field where ambient-noise cross-correlation performed 67 from recordings of the Sun's surface random motion was 68 used to retrieve time-distance information on the solar 69

Harsh K. Gupta (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics*, DOI 10.1007/978-90-481-8702-7, © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011

CORRELATION OF SEISMIC AMBIENT NOISE TO IMAGE AND TO MONITOR THE SOLID EARTH

surface. More recently, a seminal paper was published by 70 Weaver and Lobkis (2001) that showed how, at the labora-71 tory scale, diffuse thermal noise recorded and cross-72 correlated at two transducers fastened to one face of an 73 74 aluminum sample provided the complete Green's function between these two points. This result was generalized to 75 the case where randomization is not produced by the dis-76 tribution of sources, but is provided by multiple scattering 77 that takes place in heterogeneous media. 78

By summing the contributions of all sources to the cor-79 relation, it has been shown numerically that the correlation 80 contains the causal and acausal Green's function of the 81 medium. Cases of non-reciprocal (e.g., in the presence of 82 a flow) or inelastic media have also been theoretically 83 investigated. Derode et al. (2003) proposed to interpret 84 the Green's function reconstruction in terms of a time-85 reversal analogy that makes it clear that the convergence 86 of the noise-correlation function towards the Green's 87 function is bonded to the stationary phase theorem. For 88 the more general problem of elastic waves, one could sum-89 marize that the Green's function reconstruction depends 90 on the equipartition condition of the different components 91 of the elastic field. In other words, the emergence of the 92 Green's function is effective after a sufficient self-93 averaging process that is provided by random spatial dis-94 tribution of the noise sources when considering long-time 95 series as well as scattering (e.g., Gouédard et al., 2008 and 96 references herein). 97

98 Applications in seismology

99 For the first time, Shapiro and Campillo (2004)100 reconstructed the surface wave part of the Earth response 101 by correlating seismic noise at stations separated by distances of hundreds to thousands of kilometers, and mea-102 sured their dispersion curves at periods ranging from 103 5 s to about 150 s. Then, a first application of passive seis-104 mic imaging in California (e.g., Shapiro et al., 2005; Sabra 105 et al., 2005) appeared to provide a much greater spatial 106 accuracy than for usual active techniques. More recently, 107 the feasibility of using the noise cross-correlations to mon-108 itor continuous changes within volcanoes and active faults 109 was demonstrated (e.g., Brenguier, 2008a, b). These 110 results demonstrated a great potential of using seismic 111 noise to study the Earth interior at different scales in space 112 113 and time. At the same time, the feasibility of both noise-114 based seismic imaging and monitoring in every particular 115 case depends on spatio-temporal properties of the available noise wavefield. Therefore, a logical initial step for 116 most of noise-based studies is to characterize the distribu-117 tion of noise sources. Also, in many cases, knowledge of 118 the distribution of the noise sources can bring very impor-119 tant information about the coupling between the Solid 120 Earth with the Ocean and the Atmosphere. So far, we 121 can identify three main types of existing seismological 122 applications related to noise correlations: (1) studies of 123 124 spatio-temporal distribution of seismic noise sources,

(2) noise-based seismic imaging, and (3) noise-based seismic monitoring. 125

Noise source origin and distribution

Distribution of noise sources strongly depends on the 128 spectral range under consideration. At high frequencies 129 (> 1 Hz), the noise is strongly dominated by local sources 130 that may have very different origins and are often anthro-131 pogenic. At these scales, the properties of the noise 132 wavefield should be studied separately for every particular 133 case and no reasonable generalization can be done. At lon-134 ger periods, noise is dominated by natural sources. In par-135 ticular, it is well established that two main peaks in the 136 seismic noise spectra in so-called microseismic band 137 (1-20 s) are related to forcing from oceanic gravity waves. 138 It has been also argued that at periods longer than 20 s, the 139 oceanic gravity and infragravity waves play a major role in 140 the seismic noise excitation. The interaction between these 141 oceanic waves and the solid Earth is governed by 142 a complex non-linear mechanism (Longuet-Higgins, 143 1950) and, as a result, the noise excitation depends on 144 many factors such as the intensity of the oceanic waves 145 but also the intensity of their interferences as well as the 146 seafloor topography (e.g., Kedar et al., 2008). Overall, 147 the generation of seismic noise is expected to be strongly 148 modulated by strong oceanic storms and, therefore, to 149 have a clear seasonal and non-random pattern. 150

Seismic noise in the microseismic spectral band is dom- 151 inated by fundamental mode surface waves. It is currently 152 debated whether the surface wave component of micro-153 seisms is generated primarily along coastlines or if it is 154 also generated in deep-sea areas. Inhomogeneous distribu- 155 tion and seasonality of microseismic noise sources is 156 clearly revealed by the amplitude of the Rayleigh wave 157 reconstructed in noise cross-correlations (e.g., Stehly 158 et al., 2006) as shown in Figure 1. At the same time, body 159 waves were detected in the secondary microseismic band 160 and can be sometimes associated with specific storms. 161 Figure 2 shows that sources of microseismic P waves are 162 located in specific areas in deep ocean and exhibit strong 163 seasonality as determined from the analysis of records 164 by dense seismic networks (Landes et al., 2010). 165

Noise-based seismic imaging

Numerous studies has demonstrated that, when consid- 167 ered over sufficiently long times, the noise sources 168 become sufficiently well distributed over the Earth's sur-169 face and that dispersion curves of fundamental mode sur-170 face waves can be reliably measured from correlations of 171 seismic noise at periods between 5 and 50 s for most of 172 interstation directions. This led to the fast development 173 during recent years of the ambient-noise surface wave 174 tomography. It consists of computing cross-correlations 175 between vertical and horizontal components for all avail- 176 able station pairs followed by measuring group and phase 177 velocity dispersion curves of Rayleigh and Love waves 178 (e.g., Bensen et al., 2007). This dispersion curves are then 179

127

CORRELATION OF SEISMIC AMBIENT NOISE TO IMAGE AND TO MONITOR THE SOLID EARTH

180 regionalized (e.g., Lin et al., 2009) and inverted to obtain three-dimensional distribution of shear velocities in the 181 crust and the uppermost mantle. After first results obtained 182 in southern California (Shapiro et al., 2005; Sabra et al., 183 184 2005), this method has been applied with many regional seismological networks (e.g., Yao et al., 2006; Lin et al., 185 2007: Yang et al., 2008a). At smaller scales, it can be used 186 to study shallow parts of volcanic complexes (e.g., 187 Brenguier et al., 2007). The ambient-noise surface wave 188 tomography is especially advantageous in context of 189 dense continent-scale broadband seismic networks such 190 as available in USA (e.g., Moschetti et al., 2007; Yang 191 et al., 2008b) and Europe (e.g., Stehly et al., 2009). At 192 these scales, noise-based imaging can be used to obtain 193 high-resolution information about the crustal and the 194 upper mantle structure including seismic anisotropy 195 (e.g., Moschetti et al., 2010) and can be easily combined 196 with earthquake-based measurements to extend the reso-197 lution to larger depths (e.g., Yang et al., 2008b). An exam-198 ple of results obtained from combined noise and 199 earthquakes based surface wave tomography in western 200 USA is shown in Figure 3. 201

202 Noise-based monitoring

203 One of the advantages of using continuous noise records to characterize the earth materials is that a measurement 204 can easily be repeated. This led recently to the idea of 205 a continuous monitoring of the crust based on the mea-206 surements of wave speed variations. The principle is to 207 apply a differential measurement to correlation functions, 208 considered as virtual seismograms. The technique devel-209 oped for repeated earthquakes (doublets), proposed by 210 Poupinet et al., 1984, can be used with correlation func-211 tions. In a seismogram, or a correlation function, the delay 212 accumulates linearly with the lapse time when the medium 213 undergoes a homogeneous wave speed change, and 214 a slight change can be detected more easily when consid-215 ering late arrivals. It was therefore reasonable, and often 216 217 necessary, to use coda waves for the measurements of temporal changes. Noise-based monitoring relies on the auto-218 219 correlation or cross-correlation of seismic noise records (Sens-Schönfelder and Wegler, 2006; Brenguier et al., 220 2008a, b). When data from a network are available, using 221 cross-correlation take advantage of the number of pairs 222 with respect to the number of stations. It is worth noting 223 that the use of the coda of the correlation functions is also 224 justified by the fact that its sensitivity to changes in the ori-225 gin of the seismic noise is much smaller than the sensitiv-226 ity of the direct waves. Several authors noted that an 227 anisotropic distribution of sources leads to small errors 228 in the arrival time of the direct waves, which can be eval-229 uated quantitatively (e.g., Weaver et al., 2009). While in 230 most of the cases, they are acceptable for imaging, they 231 can be larger than the level of precision required when 232 investigating temporal changes. The issue of the nature 233 of the tail (coda) of the cross-correlation function is there-234 fore fundamental and was analyzed by Stehly et al. (2008). 235

These authors showed that it contains at least partially the 236 coda of the Green function, i.e., physical arrivals which 237 kinematics is controlled by the wave speeds of the 238 medium. It can therefore be used for monitoring temporal 239 changes. As an illustration of the capability of this 240 approach, we present in Figure 4 a measure of the average 241 wave speed change during a period of 6 years in the region 242 of Parkfield, California. Two main events occurred in this 243 region during the period of study: the 2003 San Simeon 244 and 2004 Parkfield earthquakes. In both cases, noise- 245 based monitoring indicates a co-seismic speed drop. 246 The measured relative variations of velocity before de 247 San Simeon earthquake are as small as 10^{-4} . The changes 248 of velocity associated with earthquakes are associated 249 with at least two different physical mechanisms: (1) the 250 damage induced by the strong ground motions in shallow 251 layers and fault zone, as illustrated by the co-seismic effect 252 of the distant San Simeon event, and (2) co-seismic bulk 253 stress change followed by the post-seismic relaxation, as 254 shown with the long-term evolution after the local 255 Parkfield event, similar in shape to the deformation mea-256 sured with GPS. 257

Summary

Continuous recordings of the Earth surface motion by 259 modern seismological networks contain a wealth of infor-260 mation on the structure of the planet and on its temporal 261 evolution. Recent developments shown here make it pos-262 sible to image the lithosphere with noise only and to detect 263 temporal changes related to inner deformations. 264

Bibliography

- Bensen, G. D., Ritzwoller, M. H., Barmin, M. P., Levshin, A. L., 266
 Lin, F., Moschetti, M. P., Shapiro, N. M., and Yang, Y., 2007. 267
 Processing seismic ambient noise data to obtain reliable broad-268
 band surface wave dispersion measurements. *Geophysical* 269 *Journal International*, 169, 1239–1260, doi:10.1111/j.1365-270
 246X.2007.03374.x, 2007. 271
- Brenguier, F., Shapiro, N. M., Campillo, M., Nercessian, A., and 272
 Ferrazzini, V., 2007. 3-D surface wave tomography of the Piton 273
 de la Fournaise volcano using seismic noise correlations. *Geo-*274 *physical Research Letters*, 34, L02305, doi:10.1029/275
 2006GL028586. 276
- Brenguier, F., Shapiro, N., Campillo, M., Ferrazzini, V., Duputel, Z., 277
 Coutant, O., and Nercessian, A., 2008a. Toward forecasting vol-278
 canic eruptions using seismic noise. *Nature Geoscience*, 1(2), 279
 126–130. 280
- Brenguier, F., Campillo, M., Hadziioannou, C., Shapiro, N. M., 281 Nadeau, R. M., and Larose, E., 2008b. Postseismic relaxation 282 along the San Andreas fault in the Parkfield area investigated 283 with continuous seismological observations. *Science*, 284 321(5895), 1478–1481. 285
- Derode, A., Larose, E., Tanter, M., de Rosny, J., Tourin, A., 286 Campillo, M., and Fink, M., 2003. Recovering the Green's function from field-field correlations in an open scattering medium. 288 *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, **113**, 289 2973–2976. 290
- Gouédard, P., Stehly, L., Brenguier, F., Campillo, M., de Verdière 291
 Colin, Y., Larose, E., Margerin, L., Roux, P., Sanchez-Sesma, 292
 F. J., Shapiro, N. M., and Weaver, R. L., 2008. Cross-correlation 293

3

258

- of random fields: mathematical approach and applications.
 Geophysical Prospecting, 56, 375–393.
- Kedar, S., Longuet-Higgins, M., Webb, F., Graham, N., Clayton, R.,
 and Jones, C., 2008. The origin of deep ocean microseisms in the
 North Atlantic Ocean. *Royal Society of London Proceedings Series A*, 464, 777–793, doi:10.1098/rspa.2007.0277.
- 300 Landes, M., Hubans, F., Shapiro, N. M., Paul, A., and Campillo, M.,
- 2010. Origin of deep ocean microseims by using teleseismic
 body waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, doi:10.1029/
 2009JB006918.
- 304 Lin, F., Ritzwoller, M. H., Townend, J., Savage, M., and Bannister, S.,
- 2007. Ambient noise Rayleigh wave tomography of New Zealand.
- 306 *Geophysical Journal International*, doi:10.1111/j.1365-307 246X.2007.03414.x.
- 308 Lin, F.-C., Ritzwoller, M. H., and Snieder, R., 2009. Eikonal tomog-
- raphy: surface wave tomography by phase-front tracking across
 a regional broad-band seismic array. *Geophysical Journal Inter- national*, 177(3), 1091–1110.
- Longuet-Higgins, M. S., 1950. A theory of the origin of microseisms. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series*, A. 243, 1–35.
- 315 Moschetti, M. P., Ritzwoller, M. H., and Shapiro, N. M., 2007. Sur-
- face wave tomography of the western United States from ambi-
- ent seismic noise: Rayleigh wave group velocity maps.
- 318 Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, **8**, Q08010,
- doi:10.1029/2007GC001655.
 Moschetti, M. P., Ritzwoller, M. H., and Lin, F. C., 2010. Seismic
- evidence for widespread crustal deformation caused by extension in the western USA. *Nature*, **464**, 885–889, doi:10.1038/
- nature08951. Poupinet, G., Ellsworth, W. L., and Frechet, J., 1984. Monitoring
- velocity variations in the crust using earthquake doublets: an application to the Calaveras Fault, California. *Journal of Geophysical Basanyle* **80**, 5710, 5721
- 327 *physical Research*, **89**, 5719–5731.
- 328 Sabra, K. G., Gerstoft, P., Roux, P., Kuperman, W. A., and Fehler,
- M. C., 2005. Extracting time domain Green's function estimates from ambient seismic noise. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32**,
- 331 L03310.
- Sens-Schönfelder, C., and Wegler, U., 2006. Passive image interfer ometry and seasonal variations of seismic velocities at Merapi
- Volcano, Indonesia. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L21302.
 Shapiro, N. M., and Campillo, M., 2004. Emergence of broadband
- Rayleigh waves from correlations of the ambient seismic noise.
 Geophysical Research Letters, **31**, L07614, doi:10.1029/
- 338 2004GL019491.

- Shapiro, N. M., Campillo, M., Stehly, L., and Ritzwoller, M., 2005. 339 High resolution surface wave tomography from ambient seismic 340 noise. *Science*, **307**, 1615–1618. 341
- Stehly, L., Campillo, M., and Shapiro, N., 2006. A Study of the seismic noise from its long range correlation properties. *Journal of* 343 *Geophysical research*, 111, B10306.
- Stehly, L., Campillo, M., Froment, B., and Weaver, R. L., 2008. 345
 Reconstructing Green's function by correlation of the coda of 346
 the correlation (C3) of ambient seismic noise. *Journal of Geo-* 347 *physical Research*, **113**, B11306. 348
- Stehly, L., Fry, B., Campillo, M., Shapiro, N. M., Guilbert, J., 349
 Boschi, L., and Giardini, D., 2009. Tomography of the Alpine 350
 region from observations of seismic ambient noise. *Geophysical* 351 *Journal International*, **178**, 338–350. 352
- Weaver, R. L., and Lobkis, O. I., 2001. Ultrasonics without a source: 353 thermal fluctuation correlations at MHz frequencies. *Physical* 354 *Review Letters*, 87(13), 134301, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett. 355 87.134301.
- Weaver, R. L., Froment, B., and Campillo, M., 2009. On the correlation of non-isotropically distributed ballistic scalar diffuse 358 waves. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 359 1817–1826.
- Yang, Y., Li, A., and Ritzwoller, M. H., 2008a. Crustal and uppermost mantle structure in southern Africa revealed from ambient 362 noise and teleseismic tomography. *Geophysical Journal International*, doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03779.x.
- Yang, Y., Ritzwoller, M. H., Lin, F.-C., Moschetti, M. P., and 365
 Shapiro, N. M., 2008b. The structure of the crust and uppermost 366
 mantle beneath the western US revealed by ambient noise and 367
 earthquake tomography. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 368
 113, B12310, doi:10.1029/2008JB005833. 369
- Yao, H., van der Hilst, R. D., and de Hoop, M. V., 2006. Surface- 370 wave array tomography in SE Tibet from ambiant seismic noise 371 and two-station analysis I. Phase velocity maps. *Geophysical* 372 *Journal International*, 166, 732–744. 373

Cross-references

D - I W	075
Body waves	375
Earthquake Tomography	376
Earthquakes and Crustal Deformation	377
Seismic Noise	378
Seismic Scattering	379
Surface Waves	380

374



Correlation of Seismic Ambient Noise to Image and to Monitor the Solid Earth, Figure 1 Comparison between seasonal variations of the location of seismic noise sources and significant wave height. (a) and (c) Geographical distribution of the apparent source of the Rayleigh waves detected in the 10–20 s noise cross correlations during the winter and the summer, respectively. (b) and (d) Global distribution of the square of wave height measured by TOPEX/Poseidon during the winter and the summer, respectively (From Stehly et al., 2006).



Correlation of Seismic Ambient Noise to Image and to Monitor the Solid Earth, Figure 2 Seasonal variation of the location of P-wave seismic noise sources in the secondary microseismic band (0.1–0.3 Hz) determined from the analysis of records at the three seismic networks indicated with white stars (From Landes et al., 2010).



Correlation of Seismic Ambient Noise to Image and to Monitor the Solid Earth, Figure 3 Shear-velocity structure of the crust and the upper mantle obtained from the inversion of the USArray data. (a) and (b) Horizontal cross-sections at depths of 5 and 100 km. (c) and (d) Vertical cross-sections along profiles delineated by the white lines in (b). Black lines outline the Moho. Topography is superimposed above individual cross sections. The black triangles represent active volcanoes in the Cascade Range (From Yang et al., 2008b).



Correlation of Seismic Ambient Noise to Image and to Monitor the Solid Earth, Figure 4 Relative seismic velocity change during 6 years measured from continuous noise correlations in Parkfield. The dashed lines indicated two major earthquakes: the San Simeon event that occurred 80 km from Parkfield and the local Parkfield event (Modified from Brenguier et al., 2008b).

S